Ĭ	Case 2:04-cv-01647-JCC Document 13	3 Filed 11/04/05	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
9				
10	MARK WAYNE CLARK,			
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C	04-1647C	
12	V.	MINUTE OR	RDER	
13	DEAN MASON, et al.,			
14	Defendant.			
15	The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.			
16	Coughenour, United States District Judge:			
17	This matter has come before the Court on Plaintiff's second motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No.			
18	120). Plaintiff's motion asks that the Court reconsider for a second time its decision to dismiss			
19	Defendants Garret and Austin from Plaintiff's Supplemental Complaint.			
20	Motions for reconsideration may only be granted upon a showing of manifest error in the prior			
21	ruling or of new facts or legal authority that could not have been brought to the Court's attention earlier			
22	with reasonable diligence. Local Rule CR 7(h)(1). Plaintiff has not made the requisite showing here.			
23	The Court finds that because Plaintiff had an opportunity to respond to Defendants' alternative			
24	grounds for dismissal of Defendants Garret and Austin	, it worked no injusti	ce for the Court to have	
25				
26	MINUTE ORDER – 1			

## Case 2:04-cv-01647-JCC Document 133 Filed 11/04/05 Page 2 of 2

1	considered this argument. Plaintiff's motion fails to raise any issue of manifest error with respect to the			
2	Court's finding that his argument that Defendants Garret and Austin will request or consider "poisoned"			
3	material at his next parole review is purely speculative.			
4	Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is therefore DENIED.			
5	DATED this 4th day of November, 2005.			
6	BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk of Court			
7				
8	By <u>/s/ C. Ledesma</u> Deputy Clerk			
9	Deputy Clerk			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	MINUTE ORDER – 2			